

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/783,384	02/20/2004	Normand Dupuis	210/3	210/3 6407		
27538	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KAPLAN & GILMAN , L.L.P.			TON, ANABEL			
900 ROUTE WOODBRII	9 NORTH OGE, NJ 07095		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	32, 10 0,000		2875	2875		

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/783,38	4	DUPUIS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anabel M.		2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	February 200)4 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,3,5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 tr No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (6,840,658).

Anderson discloses a lower portion(22), an upper portion(27), said lower portion having at least one lamp to illuminate the space there beneath, one or more side panels on one of said portions for supporting at least one advertising sign(figs 2-3). Anderson does not discloses the upper portion having at least one lamp. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a second light source in the upper portion of Anderson since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8. Furthermore, a second light source would be purposeful for providing a greater amount of illumination to the advertising sign; at least one of said side panels is removable, the lower end of said removable side panel fitting against a rail formed on the top of said lower portion to, in use, hold it in position (fig 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/783,384 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 7 is allowed.

4. Claims 2,3,5,6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited does not teach an upper dome shape above the lower portion, the support member and opaque side panels extending between each pair of support members and curves upwards towards a peak of the dome shaped upper portion, one of the side panels being removable. With regards to claim 7, the prior art cited does not teach the dome shape upper portion above the lower portion and having a curved support tube upstanding at each corner of the lower portion to meet at a peak and form a support structure, the retainer on each support tube, the upper portion having at least one lamp to illuminate each advertising sign, a top wiring suspension box at the peak.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,384

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Gundra O'Siles

Substitution Palant Examinar

Substitution Conference

Substitution Conference